

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06087926 9

COMPETITION POLICY

2003-2004

Professor Edward Iacobucci

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 28 2003

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

COMPETITION POLICY

2003-2004

Professor Edward Iacobucci



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/competitionpolic00iaco_2

COMPETITION POLICY TABLE OF CONTENTS

Useful Websites (as of July, 2003):

Canadian Competition Bureau Website: <http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/ct01250e.html>

Canadian Competition Policy Page: http://csgb.ubc.ca/ccpp/frame_1.htm

U.S. Dept. of Justice, Antitrust Division: <http://usdoj.gov/atr>

European Directorate General IV: http://europa.eu.int/comm/competition/index_en.html

I) INTRODUCTION

No readings.

II) AGREEMENTS AMONG COMPETITORS I

Atlantic Sugar Refineries Co. Ltd. Et al. v. A.G. Can., (1980) 54 C.C.C. (2d) 373
(S.C.C.).....II-1

Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd. v. Commission of the European Communities
European Court of Justice, [1972] ECR 619.....II-11

Interstate Circuit Inc. et al. v. United States, 306 U.S. 208 (1938).....II-21

III) AGREEMENTS AMONG COMPETITORS II

R. v. Nova Scotia Pharmaceutical Society (1993) 49 C.P.R. (3d) 289
(N.S.S.C.).....III-1

Broadcast Music Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, 55 F.R.D. 292 (1972,
U.S. Dist.)III-23

United States v. Sealy Inc., 288 U.S. 350 (1967), as edited in Breit, W. and K.
Elizinga (eds.), The Antitrust Casebook: Milestones in Economic Regulation
(Dryden Press, 1982)III-29

Transocean Marine Paint Association, Commission Decision 67/454, O.J.L.
163/10 (July 20, 1967)III-31

ACT Chemiefarma v. Commission, Case 41/69 [1970] ECR 661.....III-36

Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. State of California, 509 U.S. 764 (1993).....III-45

IV) MERGERS I

United States v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, 351 U.S. 764 (1993).....IV-1

Canada (Director of Investigations and Research) v. Southam Inc., [1997] 1 S.C.R. 748.....IV-2

Canada (Commissioner of Competition) v. Superior Propane Inc., [2002] C.C.T.D. No. 10.....IV-25

Staples Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission, 970 F.S. 1066 (D.D.C. 1997).....IV-44

V) MERGERS II

Brown Shoe Co. v. United States, 365 U.S. 825 (1961)V-1

United States v. General Dynamics Corp. et al., 415 U.S. 486 (1974)V-8

United States v. Baker Hughes Inc., Eimco Csecoma, A.A. and Oy Tampella AB, 731 F.S. 3 (1990), affirmed 908 F. 2d Mannesmann/Vallourec/Ilva, OJ No. L 102/15 (1994)V-28

Canada (Commissioner of Competition) v. Superior Propane Inc. [2001] F.C.J. No. 455.....V-37

Aerospatiale-Alenia/De Havilland, Commission Decision 91/619, O.J.L. 334/42.....V-51

Boeing Company/McDonnell Douglas Corporation, FTC (No. 971-0051).....V-57

“Commission Clears the Merger Between Boeing and McDonnell Douglas Under Conditions and Obligations”, European Commission, July 1997 (Press Release).....V-62

VI) PREDATORY PRICING

R. v. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., (1980) 29 O.R. (2d) 164.....VI-1

Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp., 509 U.S. 209 (1993)...VI-18

<u>Akzo Chemi BV v. EC Commission</u> , [1993] 5 CMLR 215.....	VI-31
--	-------

VII) PRICE DISCRIMINATION

<u>Utah Pie Company v. Continental Banking Company et al.</u> , 87 S. Ct. 126 (1967)	VII-1
<u>Federal Trade Commission v. Morton Salt Co.</u> , 334 U.S. 37 (1948)	VII-12

VIII) VERTICAL RESTRAINTS I

Resale Price Maintenance

<u>R. v. H.D. Lee</u> , (1980) 57 C.P.R. (2d) 186.....	VIII-1
--	--------

Exclusive Territories

<u>Consten and Grundig v. Commission Case 56</u> , 58/64 [19666] E.C.R. 299...	VIII-12
--	---------

IX) VERTICAL RESTRAINTS II

Exclusivity

<u>Director of Investigation and Research v. Bombardier Ltd.</u> (1980) 53 C.P.R. (2d) 47.....	IX-1
<u>Tampa Electric Co. v. Nashville Coal Co. et al.</u> , 365 U.S. 320 (1960).....	IX-15
<u>Canada (Director Investigations & Research) v. D &B Companies of Canada</u> , 64 C.P.R. (3d) 216.....	IX-21

Tying

<u>R.T.P.C., D.I.R. v. BBM Bureau of Management</u> , (1981) 60 C.P.R. (2d) 26..	IX-57
--	-------

X) ABUSE OF DOMINANCE

<u>Canada (Director of Investigation & Research) v. Nutrasweet Co.</u> , 32 C.P.R. (3d) 1 (1990)	X-1
<u>United States v. Microsoft Corp.</u> , No. 00-5212 (D.C. Cir. 06/28/2001).....	X-20

<u>United States v. Grinnell Corp.</u> , 384 U.S. 563 (1966)	X-31
<u>United Brands v. The Commission</u> , Case 27/76, [1987] E.C.R. 349.....	X-39

XI) APPENDIX: STATUTES

Canadian Competition Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-34 (See Bureau website for complete Act)	XI-1
Competition Bureau Discussion Paper, “Options for Amending the <i>Competition Act</i> : Fostering a Competitive Marketplace” (June 2003).....	XI-34
Speaking Notes for Konrad von Finckenstein, Commissioner of Competition, “Bill C-249 An Act to amend the <i>Competition Act</i> ” (March 2003).....	XI-48
E.C. Treaty Articles, from Van der Woude, Jones and Lewis, E.C. Competition Law Handbok (London: Sweet and Maxwell, 1996).....	XI-52
Selected Antitrust Statutes, U.S., from Sullivan and Hovenkamp, Antitrust Law, Policy, and Procedure, 2 nd ed. (Charlottesville: Michie, 1989).....	XI-59